

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,180	01/23/2004	Daniel ManHung Wong	50277-2405	7861
29989	7590 08/24/2006		EXAMINER	
HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP			NGUYEN, CAM LINH T	
2055 GATEV	WAY PLACE			
SUITE 550			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE,	CA 95110	2161		
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/764,180	WONG, DANIEL MANHUNG			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		CamLinh Nguyen	2161			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence address			
Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ja	nuary 2004.	,			
- 2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachmen		_				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 6/17/04.		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/764,180 Page 2

Art Unit: 2161

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is response to communication filed on 1/23/2004.

2. Claims 1 - 18 are currently pending.

## Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 6/17/2004 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98, and MPEP §600. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement has been placed in the application file and is being considered by the examiner.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1 - 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims appear to have no claimed result under the condition where the particular combination is not combination to which access is limited.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,180

Art Unit: 2161

Page 3

7. Claims 1 – 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujiwara et al (U.S. 2003/0014394 A1) in view of Pederson et al (U.S. 5,864,842).

♦ As per claims 1, 10

Fujiwara discloses a machine-implemented method, a machine-readable medium (Fig. 7 of Fujiwara) for managing access to data, the method comprising the steps of:

- "Detecting that a database command requires access to a particular combination of information" corresponds to the command send from a user using a third computer system (page 3, paragraph 0042, page 6 paragraph 0068 of Fujiwara).
- "And in response to the step of detecting, if the particular combination is a combination to which access is limited, rewriting said database command by creating a modified database command, based on the database command" Fujiwara teaches that a policy control is applied to the query (paragraph 0023 of Fujiwara) depending on user privileges (paragraph 0045 0046 of Fujiwara). Therefore, if the command or query that is a combination to which access is limited, the query is rewritten by creating a modified database command, based on the database command (page 6, paragraph 0068 0075 of Fujiwara).

Fujiwara does not clearly disclose that the database command requires access to a particular combination of information that is located in at least two tables. Fujiwara only teaches that the query can be a SELECT statement, specifying one or more column (paragraph 0068 of Fujiwara). However, Pederson, on the other hand, discloses a method for optimizing a query in join operation comprising the teaching of joining two tables (col. 1, lines 36 – 40, 54 – 64 of

Art Unit: 2161

Pederson). The Pederson also supports for database access control (col. 3, lines 35 – 41 of Pederson).

Since Fujiwara suggests that modification can be made to the invention such as to operate within a plurality of data processing environments (paragraph 0079 of Fujiwara), it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Pederson into the invention of Fujiwara because the combination would provide the user more control in joining different tables and provides the user more information from the database (col. 1, lines 36 – 41 of Pederson).

- ◆ As per claims 2, 11, the combination of Fujiwara and Peterson disclose:
  - "Wherein the step of detecting causes an invocation of a policy that causes a generation of a condition expression" corresponds to the package mask that including condition expression (See page 4 5, table I, of Fujiwara).
  - "And wherein rewriting causes the modified database command to include the condition expression" See page 6, paragraph 0070 of Fujiwara.
- ♦ As per claims 3, 12, the combination of Fujiwara and Peterson disclose:
  - "Wherein the generation of the condition expression is performed by referencing a policy function that returns the condition expression" See table I, paragraph 0070 of Fujiwara wherein Fujiwara teaches that the package mask (including the condition expression) is included in the query.
- ◆ As per claims 4, 13, the combination of Fujiwara and Peterson disclose:
  - "Wherein the policy is not triggered by detecting that a database command requires access to a subset of tables of the at least two tables, wherein the subset of tables includes

Application/Control Number: 10/764,180

Art Unit: 2161

at least one table" corresponds to the query that specified one or more columns in a certain table (See paragraph 0068 of Fujiwara).

Page 5

- ♦ As per claims 5, 14, the combination of Fujiwara and Peterson disclose:
  - "Wherein the detecting detects metadata includes one or more parameters that indicate which tables and which columns of the tables form the particular combination of information" "metadata includes one or more parameters" corresponds to the attributes, fields, etc. that specified in the query (See paragraph 0068 of Fujiwara).
- ◆ As per claims 6, 15, the combination of Fujiwara and Peterson disclose:
  - "Wherein the particular combination of information is a particular combination of columns" See paragraph 0068 of Fujiwara.
- ♦ As per claims 7, 16, the combination of Fujiwara and Peterson disclose:
  - "Wherein the detecting includes detecting that metadata defines the particular combination of columns as a combination of columns to which access is controlled" as indicated above, Fujiwara teaches that the user issues a query that is a combination of columns (paragraph 0068 of Fujiwara) and the access is controlled by including the mask function to mask out some data that the user does not have access permit (paragraph 0075 of Fujiwara).
- ◆ As per claims 8, 17, the combination of Fujiwara and Peterson disclose:
  - "Further comprising the step of registering a policy function with a policy, wherein the policy function returns a condition expression, and the modified database command is based on the condition expression and the database command" since the mask functions

Application/Control Number: 10/764,180

Art Unit: 2161

Page 6

in the package mask are stored in the web/report server (Fig. 6 - 7 of Fujiwara), the policy must be registered in order to carry out the invention.

- ♦ As per claims 9, 18, the combination of Fujiwara and Peterson disclose:
  - "Wherein the policy includes metadata identifying columns that are included in the
    particular combination of information" See Fig. 10 13 of Fujiwara wherein the policy
    includes metadata identifying columns (such as PT\_ID) that are included in the particular
    combination of information (different tables).

### Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - Lei et al (U.S. 6,487,552 B1) disclose a database fine-grain access control.
  - Robert Fabbio (U.s. 5,335,346) discloses an access control policies for an object oriented database including access control lists which span across object boundaries.
  - Andrew Witkowski (U.S. 6,449,609 B1) discloses a method using materialized view to process a related query containing a one to many lossless join.
  - Leung et al (U.S. 6,996,557 B1) discloses a method of optimizing SQL queries where a predicate matches nullable operands.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CamLinh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272 4024. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Art Unit: 2161

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on (571) 272 - 4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 - 273 - 8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nguyen, Cam-Linh

nguyen Conlit

Art Unit 2161

LN